

G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

CS 185-12(1-6)

336-12(1-2)

Later references.

CS 22-15(1-7)

1943. 14

(CS)

File No. 135-13

Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for May 14

SUBJECT.

Additional clerk to the Bench
of Magistrate in Bangalore City.

From the D. M. Bp. 22 N^o 9/7/13.

Requests sanction for an extra clerk for
the Bench Ct

To The D. M. Bp. 1606 of 28/11/13.

Inquires if effect has been ~~given~~ given
to the G.O. directing the transfer of a clerk.

From the D. M. Bp. 518 of 20/12/13.

Forwards copy of a D.O. from the Asst Magt

To The D. M. the Comptroller & the Asst Chf Ct
J 3498-500 of 25/5/14.

Sanction an additional clerk.

24.10.14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Court)

NOTES.

File No. 135

FILE HEADING.

Additional clerk to the Bench of Magistrates' Court in Bangalore City.

From

The District Magistrate, Bangalore Dist.

No. 22

Dated 4.7.13

Received 10.7.13

Diary No.

1116

Enclos. 2

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forward copy of a letter from the City Magistrate Bangalore stating that it is difficult to spare a clerk from his office to the Bench Court and request sanction of Rs 15/- per month for the Bench Court.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In Govt Proceedings no. J 3308-10/Cts 185-12-6, of 1-5-13, it was directed that a clerk on Rs 15/- be sanctioned temporarily for one year from the City Magistrate to that of the Bench Court in Bangalore City. Before passing that order Govt satisfied ^{themselves} ~~they~~

NOTES—First Sheet.

N.T.O.

Serial
No.

that with the increase in the no of cases in the Bench Court there has been a fall in that of the City Magistrate's Court. Besides, this mode of strengthening the establishment of the Bench Court by transferring a haw from the City Magistrate's Court was decided upon at the suggestion of the then Dist. Mgr of Bangalore.

The City Magistrate now urges that there has been no diminution in the no of cases on his file but that there has been an increase of work in other ways enumerated by him ~~and~~ that the work of his court would be much prejudiced if the haw is taken away. It is apparent that the haw has not yet been transferred.

Subd for orders.

KG-116
14/11/13 Ml
15

The statement furnished by the City Magistrate indicates that there has been some decrease of work in his Court especially during 1912-13. The only question is whether this diminution will allow of his establishment being curtailed. Both the Magistrate & The D. Magistrate also seem to think.

Serial
No.

that to take away a clerk from the Magli Court would be detrimental to the work there. If this view is to be accepted, the only alternative is to sanction an addl clerk to the Bench Court.

Dr. Law)

SOL
17/11

On 1st May 1913 the Govt. positively ordered that a clerk on Rs 15 from the City Magistrate's Court, Bangalore, should be transferred for one year from the 1st July 1913

Please enquire officially by means of a letter whether effect has been given to this order or not only.

Dau
25/11
Draft urgent
SOL
25/11

Draft enquiring is made.

116-116

27/11/13

Issue

SOL
27/11

To The Dist. Magistrate, Bangalore

No J 1606 of 28-11-13

Enquire if effect has been given to the G.O. directing the transfer of a clerk from the City Magistrate's Court to the Bench Court.

P. T. O.

Serial
No.

3

From the Dist. Mgr., Bangalore

No 518 of 20-12-13

Forwards with refce to this office
enquiring, copy of a docket from the
City Magistrate with its enclosures

1) From the letter addressed by the
City Magistrate to the Dist. Magistrate it
is seen that effect has not yet been given
to the G.O. directing the transfer.

10) Subd with refce to para b.

kg. - 116

5/1/14

C (48)

6/1/14

Dr. Secy)

Sd/-
6/1/14

The G.O. order of 1st May 1913 has which should have been
given effect to from 1st July 1913 still remains a dead
letter because the City Magistrate Mr. B. Venkoba is
apparently of the opinion that the order is such as
ought to be "withdrawn" (occurs in his first letter under
disposal). The order ought, in my humble opinion, to
be first enforced.

1st Correlation)Dmy. g. Rao
7/1/14

The City Magistrate seems to
have ~~been~~ sent up his re-
presentation soon after the
order was issued. We can-
not therefore treat it as a

Ct File No. 135 of 1913-14.

Serial
No.

Care of disregard of orders -
I think the reasons given
justify a revision of our
last order. I think that a
clerk on 15 Rs may be added
to the Ct of the Bench Mag-
istrates. Please Consult
Br II.

13/1/14

H. M.

103
1577 Dec 15/11

To Br II + opinion

spk
15/1

Subd

Brk SN
23/1/14 2891

It is clear from the City Magistrate's
letter No 4798 11.6.1913 that the following kinds of work
which were not being done in that Court when the
existing establishment was sanctioned in 1897, have
now come into existence and are increasing year after
year :-

- 1) property cases transferred from the District
Magistrate's court,

Serial
No.

- 2) work connected with the migration of
coolies to Ceylon, and
3) the issuing of licenses under the new
Police Regulation.

These, in addition to the stringency of the new
system of record-sorting, seem to have added
to the work of the clerical establishment.

For these reasons the Adm^{re} Dep^y (1st Councillor) considers that no hand can be
spared from the City Magistrate's and that a
new hand on Rs 15/- should be added to the Bench
Magistrate's Court.

The necessity for an additional clerk to
the Court of the Bench Magistrates having been
admitted, there is no financial objection to the
proposal.

cc cc)

31.1.14

If ^{the} arrangement is to have effect
from this year money should be found
by reappropriation

M

for M)

Subd. May the Dist. Magistrate be asked
to point out the source from which the
current year's expenditure will be met, if

Serial
No.

the ^{ad} hand is same?

KG-116

4/2/1914

W

No such refer is necessary.

Put up draft sanctioning the proposal & stating that the cost during the current year should be met by reappropriation

S/S
S/P

draft as above is subd.

KG-116

6/2/1914

W

first member)

Draft app 2

S/S
S/P

Drawn as per new
7/2/14

8/2/14

7538

9-2-14

Drawn

6-19

of app 1 by 1/1/14

19/1/14

Issue

12/1/15

10/7/13
From

1913-14.

The District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl Res. Dept
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

9th July 1913.

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. one.

Forwarding copy of
letter no: 479 of 11th June 1913
from the city magistrate,
and requesting sanction
for the entertainment of
a fresh hand on Rs 15/- to
the Black Court.

DIARY No. 1140

13	10
SEP 1913	
FILE	
SERIAL NO.	

District Magistrate's Office,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore 8th July 1913.

From H. Chandj Esq. B.A.
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To H. R. Trinivasa Iyengar Esq. M.A.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir, Adverting to Government Proceedings
No: J. 3308-10 dated 1st May 1913, directing the File
Ch. 185-12-6 transfer, temporarily for one year, of a clerk
on Rs. 15/- from the Establishment of the City
Magistrate to that of the Bench Court, I
have the honor to forward herewith copy
of letter No: 479 dated 11th June 1913 from the City
Magistrate, together with that of its en-
closure, stating that it is difficult to spare a
clerk from his office, on account of increase
of work in his Court, and to request that you
will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Go-
vernment for entertaining a fresh hand on Rs. 15/- for
the Bench Court.

S

I have the honor to be 3

Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant,

W. Lundy

District Magistrate.

Bangalore District.

W. L.

From,

4.
C.L. 135-137.

The City Magistrate, Bangalore.

To,

The District Magistrate,

Bangalore District,

Bangalore

Dated 11th June 1913.

Sir,

With reference to your n.o. 1518,
dated 20/5/1913, forwarding copy of Govt.

Proceedings n.o. J. 3308-10 dated 1-5-13,
Cts 185-126

directing that a clerk of this Court
on Rs 15/- may be transferred temporarily
for one year from the 1st of July 1913,
to the Court of the Bench of Honorary
Magistrates, Bangalore City. I have the
honor to submit the following for
your kind consideration and such
orders as you may deem proper.

In the year 1897, on the proposal
submitted to increase the pay of the
Establishment

Establishment - and to give 3 additional
hands, Government were pleased to
Sanction two additional hands as per
Proceedings no. $\frac{12209-10}{J. 1593}$ of 10th May 1897, in Hof R.
accordance with the recommendation
of the Chief Court, on the understanding
that the work then was sufficient
for 2 additional hands.

The file of regular and mis-
cellaneous cases in 1895-96 as can be
seen from the accompanying statement
was $910 + 328 = 1238$. The file of cases in
the current year up to 11th June only
(Regular and miscellaneous = $711 + 565 = 1276$.)
This shows that there has been no
diminution of work.

On the other hand, there has
been an increase of work. The property
cases which were in 1895-1896 going to
the file of the District Magistrate
are now being dealt with in this
Court

Court under section 54 of The Police
 put up Regulation of 1908 and came to very
 nearly 400 in number per year. The
 volume of Kanarese correspondence is
 now threefold though the English
 correspondence is the same.

Besides the usual monthly, quarterly,
 half-yearly and Annual statements
 and the copying work done free of
 charge in the Comital and Cognizable
 cases, there is the work of monthly
 inspection under The Cotton Duties
 Regulation and the periodical inspection
 under The Merchandise Marks Regu-
 -lation and Factories Regulation which
 involve correspondence and submission
 of accounts at fixed periods.

The passing of Cookies of The Ceylon
 Labour Commission has become almost an
 everyday business.

The passing of The New Police Regulation
 of

of 1908 has been thrown on this Court.
The work of issuing licenses which
was previously being done by the
Police. 146 licenses were issued during
the year up to the end of May and
the large correspondence connected
with these licenses takes a great portion of
the time of one or two of the clerks.
Furthermore, the revised rules about record
keeping are to be attended to from
1st July 1913.

Under these circumstances, I beg
to submit that the order to spare a
clerk to the Bench Court from this
Court may be kindly arranged to be ||
withdrawn, an additional clerk being,
if necessary independently given to
the Bench Court.

If I am still asked to spare
a clerk to the Bench Court, I shall
f

|| of course loyally carry out orders,
 but I have serious misgivings that
 on account of this office being
 undermanned, parties will be put
 to much inconvenience by delay
 in the issue of licenses, copies, summonses,
 etc, while the Record Sorting according
 to the Revised Rules may fall into
 arrears.

There will also be difficulties in
 other ways for example with regard
 to property cases.

I beg that you will consider
 the points now urged and pass such
 orders as you may deem proper

I have the honor to be,
 Sir,

your most obedient servant,

(Signed) B Venkoba Rao
 City Magistrate
Bangalore

"Copy"

K. Maundy

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Statement showing the details of cases filed as necessitating the increase of Establishment previously.

year	No. of Regular Cases.	Miscellaneous Cases.	Property Cases.	Correspondence	
				From	To.
1887	532	139	In these years the unclaimed properties (i.e. previous to the introduction of the Police Regulation) were dealt with by the District Magistrate.	-	2490
1888	577	102		-	1950
1889	990	189		-	2000
1890	582	190		-	1800
1891-92	582	294		-	2103
1892-93	546	297		-	3360
1893-94	956	408		-	1451
1894-95	10,43	333		-	1905
1895-96.	910	328.		-	2247

"C

9 July 1911

Statement Showing the details of cases filed for the last 10 years.

Year	No. of Regular Cases.	Mis- cellaneous Cases	Property Cases	Correspondence	
				From	To.
1902-03	1100	200	In these years (ie, previous to the introduction of the Police Regulation) the unclaimed properties were dealt with by the District Magistrate.	.	.
1903-04	703	230		.	.
1904-05	831	307		.	.
1905-06	836	333		.	.
1906-07	763	278		.	1988
1907-08	1061	435	136	.	2247
1908-09	1028	508	180	.	.
1909-10	902	573	184	.	2120
1910-11	901	577	332	.	3540
1911-12	<u>838</u>	<u>738</u>	<u>338</u>	.	3060
1912-1913 up to date	<u>711</u>	<u>565</u>	<u>338</u>	.	4300.

(Signed) B Venkoba Row,
City Magistrate.
— 11-6-13

W. L. Vandy
Deputy Commissioner,
Bungalore District

+

No. ~~J 1606~~
J 1606

To

()
, the 191 .

The Dist. Magistrate.

Bangalore dist.

Date of issue 28.11.13

Signed by M. R. Rajagopalachari Secy.

Advertising to your letter no. 22, of the 9th July 1913, requesting sanction of Govt for entertaining a fresh hand on Rs 15/- for the Branch Comt., I am directed to enquire if effect has been given to Govt Prop no J 3308-10/cb 185-12-6, of the 1st May 1913, which directed that a clerk on Rs 15/- should be put over.

SUBJECT.

transferred from the City Magistrate
Court to the Bench Court.

The favour of an early reply
is requested.

Yours truly

Spl

For Secy to Govt.

115-116

27/11/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by Nil

TO
21/12
From
The Asst
1913-14
21/12-13
12
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 20.12.13.

Received

General No. 3.
No.

Enclosure No. four.

Re- the transferring of clerks from the
city magistrates' court to Bench court.

DIARY No. J. 1912 Office of The Deputy Commissioner,

1913-10/14
ION 6005
ENo. 1210/113
File No. 3

Bangalore District

Dated, Bangalore 19th Dec 1913.

K. Chandy Esquire B.A.

Deputy Commissioner
and District Magistrate
Bangalore District.

To,

K. R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esquire M.A.
Secretary to The Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J 1606/

1078. 135-13-2 dated the 28th November 1913, I have

the honor to forward herewith, copy of the docket

No. 302 dated 12th December 1913, together with one ^{file} 3

memo and 2 statements received from the City Magis-

-trate Bangalore City, in the matter of transferring

a clerk of The City Magistrates' Court on Rs 15/- to

the Bench Court.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

H. Ramanam

For Assistant Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner, District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

14

Letter No. 302 dated 9/12th December 1913 from the City
Magistrate, Bangalore, to the District Magistrate, Bangalore
District.

----- 135-13-3

With reference to your N.No. 3539 dated 3rd Inst. forwarding
copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts No. J 1606/ Cts 135-13-2 dated 28th November
1913 enquiring if effect has been given to Government Proceedings
o.J.3308-10/Cts 125-12-6 dated 1st May 1913 directing the trans-
fer of a clerk of this court on Rs. 15/- to the Bench Court, I have
the honor to state that pending a reply to my letter No.479 dated
11th June 1913, the order was not given effect to, as was indi-
cated in the said letter from me.

I would once again respectfully and strongly urge the need
for the permanent retention of the clerk in this court and re-
quest you to be so good as to move Government for a reconsidera-
tion in this matter.

I enclose a memo showing the heavy nature of the work in
this court. As I have already said in my previous letter No.
N 479 dated 11-6-1913, I shall promptly carry out orders if I am *still*
asked to spare a clerk to the Bench Court.

" C o p y "

K. C. Munniam
For District Magistrate,

30th Decr. 1913.

Shil
Bangalore District.

memo

I have had the accompanying statement prepared in order to illustrate the trend of the work in this Court. The total number of cases in 1911-12 is 838 while the total number of cases in 1912-13 is 751. At first sight, it will appear that the work of this Court has diminished, while a more careful scrutiny of the figures will reveal that the true state of affairs is exactly the reverse of the apparent state of affairs. Cases under the Police Regulation, ie of driving on the wrong side without a light etc, rarely occupy much of the time of the Court even when they come in large numbers, and the diminution of such cases in 12-13 is 121 ie 34 more than the total diminution in the number of all cases ie 87. This means that instead of the apparent diminution of work by 87 cases, there has been a real addition to the ^{hard} ~~paid~~ work by 34 cases. Here again, the figure by itself is misleading, for, ^{no} ~~as~~ part of the 34 cases are private cases which often lend themselves on account of their flimsiness to easy disposal by dismissal or discharge. The figure 34 is made up of 59 additional Police Charge Sheets minus 25 private cases.

cases.

It will ^{thus} be seen that the fall in the number of cases in this Court is more than accounted for by the fall in the number of Police Regulation cases which have never gone to the Bench Court and which can perhaps never go there, because the Bench do not sit daily. The increase in the number of other Police charge sheets which probably means increased efficiency of Police work has thrown more work on this Court.

Dated 5th December 1913.


District Magistrate

Statement shewing the no of Cases filed as per
 details noted below in the City Magistrate's Court
 during the year 1912-1913.

Year & Month	Police Regulation Cases	Police Charge Sheet	Private Cases
1912 July	13	14	14
August	34	17	12
September	9	18	12
October	19	12	12
November	12	23	19
December 1912	7	14	4
1913 January	19	27	9
February	12	22	6
March	38	32	9
April	142	17	13
May	32	34	9
June	32	22	11
Total 751.	369	252	130.


 City Magistrate

18

Statement shewing the number of cases filed as per
 details noted below in the City Magistrate's Court
 Bangalore for the year 1911-1912.

Year Month	Police Regulation Cases	Police Charge Sheets	Private Cases
1911 July	51	19	29
August	49	22	19
September	45	13	9
October	27	12	11
November	70	21	7
December	88	21	9
1912 January	95	9	15
February	35	11	17
March	14	18	9
April	3	13	9
May	6	17	7
June	1	17	14
Total 838	490	193	155


 City Magistrate

Saujanya aol hand to the Bangalore

G.R.S. 28]

Count 5697/1278-13558
21/5 21/6/14
much about

19

6th File No. 135 of 1913
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.
()

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

the

191

Q

Govt Procs no. J3308-10/Ch/85-12

-6, by the 1st May 1913, directing that

Date of issue 25.5.14.
16

a clerk on Rs 15/- be transferred tem-

Signed by HSP Rajagopalachari

-porarily for one year with effect from

the 1st July 1913 from the establishment

~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~

of the City Mafis Kate to that of the

Benches of the Mafis Kate, Bangalore City

2). Letter No 22 by the 8th July 13

from the Dist. Mafis Kate, Bangalore Dist.

forwarding copy of a letter from the

N.T. over.

2 kept under disposal

SUBJECT.

City Magistrate, Bangalore, stating
that it is difficult to spare a
clerk from his office on account of
the increase of work in his court
and requesting sanction of Govt to
the entertainment of a fresh hand
on Rs 15/- for the Court of Hon'ble Magistrate.

3. Correspondence ending
with letter no. 518, of the 20th Dec 1913,
from the same office ~~on the subject~~
forwarding a memo drawn up
by the City Magistrate showing
the heavy nature of the work in
his court.

II paper under disposal

Order thereon no. J 3498-500

In modification of the

proceedings read above Govt are Q

pleased to sanction an additional
clerk. ^{on Rs 15 per mensem}

handed to the establishment of the
Branch of Hon'ble Magistrate, Bangalore
City.

The extra cost for the
current year should be met by

reappropriation.

The Extra Cost for the current
year should be met by
reappropriation.

19.5.14
H
H

GFB-20,000-2-10-11

Page 112

For Secy to Govt

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

To The Dist. Magistrate, Bangalore

The Comptroller, Mysore

through Br II

The Registrar, Chief Court

kg-116

6/2/1914

all
22/5-